

Appendix I: Adjacent and Affected Jurisdiction Comments and Responses

City of Scandia Comp Plan Comment Tracker

Land Use			
Incomplete Comments			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Make sure to use the same land use categories in existing and planned maps and tables	Met Council	Thank you for your comment. We will ensure existing land use tables/maps are consistent and that planned land use tables/maps are consistent.
1.2	Guide regional and state park lands with “park” or similar designation; difference between Table II-H and Figure II-H	Met Council	(Applicable to Table II-J too) Park is currently noted as “Recreational Area Protected” in Planned Land Use. We will ensure all land use categories are consistently labeled.
1.3	Future Land Use Map – Not all NPS owned parcels in the St. Croix River Corridor are shown as “recreation area protected”. All should be.	National Park Service	We will incorporate all NPS lands into the Future Land Use map.
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	St. Croix River Overlay District General Description: The “y” is missing in Washington County. (Implementation table)	National Park Service	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.2	The Planned Land Use map could show William O’Brien’s statutory boundary, which can be found in the William O’Brien Master Plan .	MnDNR	We have requested verification of the statutory boundary and will update the map accordingly.

Natural Resources/Special Resources/Resilience

Incomplete Comments

Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Consider collaborating with the County to add waste and recycling stations along city trails and city parks, public spaces (resilience chapter, aligning with County Waste Management Master Plan)	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.2	<p>The DNR supports including data from the Natural Heritage Information System (NHIS) in the comprehensive plan. We recommend that the plan include goals and strategies to address how rare species and plant communities will be protected and preserved. Two data layers useful for land use and conservation planning include the MBS Native Plant Communities and the MBS Sites of Biodiversity Significance. GIS shapefiles of these data layers can be downloaded from the Minnesota Geospatial Commons.</p> <p>DNR recommends avoidance of these ecologically significant areas, especially MBS Sites of Outstanding or High Biodiversity Significance, and DNR Native Plant Communities with a conservation status rank of S1 (critically imperiled), S2 (imperiled), or S3 (vulnerable to extirpation). We recommend that comprehensive plans include a map of both of these layers and a list of the types of native plant communities documented within the plan's boundaries.</p>	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.3	As noted in your plan, Scandia has significant tracts of preserved natural areas. A number of city roads run through or along preserved open space. We recommend adding policies that take wildlife (including trout, as mentioned above) into consideration as transportation projects occur. (Resources and examples provided in comment letter, including for turtles)	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.4	<p>The loss of tree canopy due to threats such as emerald ash borer and oak wilt has negative impacts on the county's health and environment, and a planned community forest can provide numerous community benefits. The first step to achieving a resilient community forest is conducting a tree inventory. The second step is developing a community forestry management plan that includes strategies for managing trees, especially ash, and encouraging a diverse tree canopy on private and public lands.</p> <p>It would be worth mentioning if the city has developed a forestry management plan, along with plans for implementation, as part of a strategy to meet environmental goals and policies.</p>	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.

Housing

Incomplete Comments

Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Housing Implementation Table: GROW fund; please correct HRA reference to CDA	Washington County	Thank you for the corrections. The typos have been corrected.
1.2	Acknowledge the following tools could be used to address stated new housing need and specify what types of housing tools would be used for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing bonds • Tax abatement • City sponsorship/support for MN Housing Consolidated RFP app • Local Fair Housing Policy • Support the addition of any appropriate single-family homes into the Two Rivers Community Land Trust as a way to increase affordable housing options in Scandia Site assembly efforts to support construction of specific housing needs	Met Council	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in expanded housing tables.
1.3	Pg 50, housing chapter: make sure projected household matches forecasts	Met Council	The forecasts in Figure VI-G match the forecasts in Table II-A. We will amend the first paragraph to remove a numerical mention of the number of households expected to be added to Scandia by 2040.

Advisory Comment

Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Consider including the following CDA programs to help ensure financial sustainability for homeownership: Home Buyer Education and Homeowner Counseling	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated.

Parks and Trails			
Incomplete Comments			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Thrive MSP Regional Parks Policy Plan: Change “National Park Service” to “National Park System”. Change western border of Scandia to eastern border of Scandia	National Park Service	Thank you for the corrections. The typos have been corrected.
1.2	The 29.7-mile bike loop noted in existing regional trails is a County Road with bike-able shoulders. Please remove the <i>Regional Trail</i> designation	Washington County	This bike shoulder is located along roads identified as A-Minor Arterials on the regional system. We consider the bike shoulder and trails a part of the overall regional system.
1.3	Rename Pine Point Park to Pine Point Regional Park	Washington County	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.4	Pg. 53/54, Section B: Change plan funding sentence to “funded by a grant from the Statewide Health Improvement Partnership (SHIP) through the Washington County Department of Public Health and Environment.”	Washington County	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.5	We request you list William O’Brien as a state park in the legend on Fig. VII B, Local Parks and Trails Map.	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. We have revised the map.
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Pg. 53, 64, 68: Swedish Immigrant Regional Trail. Ensure trail is noted and labeled as a regional trail whenever it is noted in the Comp Plan	Chisago County	Thank you for the corrections. The typos have been corrected.
1.2	Pg. 5/6. (item 3 in background/ history – natural resources & geographic features) Suggest adding “The St. Croix River, a federally protected river under the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act and a unit of the National Park System, runs...”	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.3	Include “state and federal parkland” in St. Croix River Corridor Area future land use description (item e)	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.4	Natural Resources Goals & Objectives: Goal 4, objective 2 Suggest adding “...while preserving natural and historical integrity <i>and working cooperatively with land management partners</i> ”	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.5	Please include the NPS in communications about potential abandonment of the Soo Line Railroad Bridge and Trail development	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.6	Future planning efforts should be taking the Wild & Scenic Rivers Act and values into consideration	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.

1.7	Consider including the St. Croix National Scenic Riverway on the regional parks and trails map	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.8	Existing Parks and Trails: add a paragraph about the Riverway, adding a section for national parks	National Park Service	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.9	The Falls Creek SNA description could include a list of activities to do, similar to the Crystal Springs SNA description.	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.10	Consider indicating snowmobile trails on park systems plans. State-supported grant-in-aid trails connect your community to an extensive network of trails throughout the state. Including the trails on inventories would raise awareness of this recreational activity.	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.11	Fig. VII B, Local Parks and Trails Map: The St. Croix is a state water trail, and that information could be indicated on the map.	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.

Transportation			
Incomplete Comments			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Metro Mobility not provided in Scandia, remove reference	Met Council	Thank you for your comment. The reference has been removed.
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Intersection of TH 97 and CR 52/Oakhill: Has been a State Aid Cooperative Agreement candidate in the past, plan to add dedicated left turn lanes at the intersection and at the school entrance, and improvement from current configuration.	MnDOT	Thank you for your comment.
1.2	Consider matching Table VIII "Typical Traffic Capacity by Roadway Type/Configuration" to the County's planning level roadway capacities on pg. 5-13 of the County's 2040 comp plan	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.3	Intersection of TH 97 and CR 52/Oakhill: Note that a proper upgrade for pedestrians at this location will require an actuated, overhead, enhanced crossing.	MnDOT	Thank you for your comment.

Wastewater			
Incomplete Comments			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	IX.B.2 – Include additional info in septic system description – “meet the standards of the Washington County Subsurface Sewage Treatment System ordinance, which is consistent with Minnesota Rules Chapter 7080. The County’s SSTS Ordinance is codified in the Development Code as Chapter 4, Ordinance #206. The county is responsible for implementing the ordinance in the City of Scandia. This ordinance provides the basis for the County’s SSTS program, including requirements for: compliance inspections of existing systems, permitting and inspection of new systems, site review, maintenance requirements, and operating permits for advanced treatment systems (Type IV).”	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated.
1.2	Change reference to inspections at point of sale – This is required per County ordinance, in Section 8, for systems older than 5 years or those that do not have a current compliance inspection.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated.
1.3	Change reference to the SSTS ordinance number to current number, 206. The section detailing maintenance requirements is Section 22.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated.
1.4	Specify under what conditions private community treatment systems would be allowed (densities, installation or management requirements, local gov. responsibilities)	Met Council	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in Chapter IX, Section B 3.
1.5	Clarify if Washington County has jurisdiction over public and private community treatment systems	Met Council	Thank you for your comment. The City maintains jurisdiction over public systems. Washington County and MPCA have jurisdiction over private systems based on their capacity, discussed in Chapter IX, Section B 3.
1.6	Need permit number and expiration date for the Tii Gavo and Wyldewood Acres private water systems	Met Council	We will include the permit numbers and expiration dates in the final document.
1.7	Include a copy of City Ordinance 108	Met Council	City Ordinance 108 has been repealed. Ordinance 189 has replaced 108 and has been included in Appendix F.
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Consider referencing the County’s comprehensive plan wastewater section, which includes a map of all known replacement orders from 2012-2017. <i>If Met Council asks City to include info about “nonconforming systems/problem systems”</i>	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.2	Does not appear connection to the Metro Disposal System will be needed before 2040, based on forecasts. Contact Council if this is not the case	Met Council	Yes, this is correct. Thank you for your comment.

Surface Water (Chapter Section)			
Incomplete Comments			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Section IX.C.2: the 2010 management plan guides RCWD activities until 2020, not 2001.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.2	RCWD submitted comments on the City's SWMP on June 28, 2018. Please revise the SWMP plan/Appendix G and references in Chapter IX per watershed comments.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for your comment. SWMP comments and responses will be addressed separately.
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Section IX.C.1: The watershed management plan will be updated by 2020. Suggest revising the 2018/2019 reference to 2020.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.2	Remove "while" from 2010 management plan objective #2 (pg. 99/100)	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.3	<p>Scandia has several state designated Trout Streams that should be noted in the plan and shown on maps. Trout streams are particularly reliant on groundwater flow because the temperature of this source water is cool in the summer (and relatively high in winter). Potential issues facing the present nature of trout within the watershed are changes in groundwater transport rates or supply to the river. Shifts that increase impervious surface runoff and/or groundwater withdrawals will reduce the quantity and quality of trout habitat.</p> <p>We recommend including additional policies to transportation and land use sections, that natural resource data, including designated trout stream information, is considered early in any transportation project or site plan review.</p>	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.
1.4	The data layer Pollution Sensitivity of Near Surface Materials provides additional information on the spatial nature of groundwater movement.	MnDNR	Thank you for your comment. Your recommendation has been taken under advisement.

Surface Water Management Plan

Incomplete Comments

Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	<p>MN Chapter Rules 8410 requires local plans to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A local implementation program through the year the local water plan extends must describe nonstructural, programmatic, and structural solutions to problems identified in the problem section. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The program shall include areas and elevations for storm water storage adequate to meet performance standards or official controls established in the organization plan; include a table that briefly describes each component of the implementation program and clearly details the schedule, estimated cost, and funding sources for each component including annual budget totals; include a capital improvement program that sets forth, by year, details of each contemplated capital improvement that includes the schedule, estimated cost, and funding source. <p>The plan includes the city's overall Capital Improvement Plan as Appendix F. This plan covers the years 2018- 2022 but contains no specific surface water projects. The surface water plan is not required to be updated until 2028, and technically the CIP should extend through the same time. We realize planning this far ahead is difficult, especially for a small city, but technically this is what is required by the Rule.</p>	Met Council (same comment 3 times, one directed to each watershed district)	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.2	Section VI, Table 10 (pg 35) and Appendix F CIP: The City's implementation plan must be through the year the local water plan extends and describe nonstructural, programmatic, and structural solutions to the problems identified in Section IV. Table 10 should have a clear schedule, estimated costs, and funding sources for each item, including annual budget totals. The schedule should include each year covered by the plan: 2018-2028. Appendix F should also cover the years 2018-2028 and include specific water projects.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.3	The Metropolitan Council indicated the need to identify areas and elevations for stormwater storage, however referencing RCWD's hydraulic and hydrologic model and Watershed Management Plan is satisfactory to address this requirement.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for your comment.
Advisory Comment			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	Pg 17. CLFLWD last updated its WMP 2018, not 2015	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.

1.2	<p>CLFLWD does not generally offer cost-share funding to projects that are required to implement BMPs under the District’s permitting program. This program is intended for projects that go above and beyond the minimum requirements.</p> <p><i>Suggested edit:</i> CLFLWD’s plan notes that the District has established an Urban Stormwater Remediation Cost-Share program that could be used as a source of funding that the City of Scandia could use to provide water quality enhancements as part of municipal projects, such as future road projects that go above and beyond minimum stormwater requirements and are not used for future credits.</p>	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.3	Pg 22. There doesn’t appear to be a copy of the proposed MOU in the appendices, specifically Appendix E.	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.4	Pg 26. The CLFLWD will continue to implement its stormwater management and erosion control ordinance in the Bone Lake watershed area and all other areas within CLFLWD jurisdiction.	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	Thank you for your comment.
1.5	Pg 27. Will there be a specific trigger for when the City requires an owner/developer to complete the functions and values assessment, or will this apply to all new development/redevelopment activity?	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	Thank you for your comment. As noted in the plan, this strategy is intended for developers/new development. There is no specific trigger, other than the city will encourage developers to use these resources. The city can require this as part of development review, including planned unit developments.
1.6	Pg 33 – 34/ CLFLWD adopted a minor plan amendment in January 2018, which partially updated the referenced sections including: removing AIS-related items from the projects category and into a new program – 3011 AIS Prevention & Management. Redlines are offered to more closely align this section with the latest amended version of the CLFLWD WMP. (see comment PDF – 4 bullets deleted, 2 bullets added, 3 sentence modifications)	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake Watershed District	Thank you for your comment. These edits have been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.7	Appendix F: Capital Improvement Plan should have a clear and detailed schedule with estimated costs and potential funding sources.	Comfort Lake-Forest Lake	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.

		Watershed District	
1.8	Table 10: Summary of Proposed Watershed Projects, which lists possible projects to be initiated by the watershed districts. Alternatively, this table could be updated to include dates or schedules, estimated costs, and funding sources. Including local projects, even if there is no immediate funding available for them, may make the activities more likely to qualify for possible grant funding.	Met Council	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.9	Section III A. and III F (pages 2 & 16): Though RCWD is commencing the 2010 Watershed Management Plan revision process, the plan will be updated by 2020. RCWD suggests revising the 2018/2019 references to 2020.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.10	Section III Table 3 Impaired Waters, second row (page 7): Big Marine is misspelled and should be corrected.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.11	Section III, paragraph underneath Table 4 (page 9): The City could remove the last sentence. The local water management plan schedule is now on the comprehensive plan schedule.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.12	Section III D. 1, third paragraph (page 10): "Clayey" is missing a "y" and should be corrected.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.13	Section III E.2, underneath Table 7 (page 12): "That by privately-owned" should be corrected to "that are privately-owned."	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.14	Section III G.5, end of section (page 19): "Ordinances" is misspelled and should be corrected.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.15	Section III H.2, second paragraph, second sentence (page 20): This sentence appears to be unfinished. Recommend revising this sentence for clarity.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.16	Section III H.5, first sentence (page 21): "Was established" is not needed and can be removed.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.17	Section IV A.9, last sentence (page 24): "Groundwater" is misspelled and should be corrected.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.18	Section VI A, first sentence (page 29): An extra "f" is present that can be removed.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.19	Appendix A Table of Contents and the legend of Figure SW-14: "Sensitivity" is misspelled and should be corrected.	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.20	Appendix A: Two figures are labeled as Figure SW-13. Recommend revising figure numbering	Rice Creek Watershed	Thank you for the correction. The typo has been corrected.
1.21	This plan addresses preservation and protection of groundwater and surface water. Minnesota State Statute 103b.235 defines these plans as "Local Water Management Plans," therefore the department recommends the city remove the word "Surface" from the name of the plan and title it "Local Water Management Plan." The rest of the document already refers to this plan as the "Local Water Management Plan."	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.

	Additionally, the first sentence of the executive summary states this plan is to “...guide the City in conserving, protecting and managing its surface water resources.” Since this plan addresses groundwater as well we suggest either removing the reference to “surface” and just leaving it as water resources or adding groundwater.		
1.22	The county would like to point out that many of the city’s goals, policies and strategies related to groundwater compliment the groundwater plans policies and strategies. As the city moves forward with implementing these policies, the county requests they look to the county for partnership and collaboration where appropriate.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. Scandia will consider partnering and collaborating with the County to implement policies where appropriate.
1.23	Page 15 second paragraph Please delete the statement about “The County’s priority groundwater issues in cities like Scandia include proper Subsurface Sewage Treatment System installation and maintenance and sealing of residential wells.” While parts of this statement are true it is very limiting. The county groundwater plan covers many additional groundwater issues that should be a priority to Scandia such as mining, nutrients, pesticides, and others. This is already explained in more detail under Section H. An alternative statement could be “The County’s groundwater plan addresses many groundwater issues that are a priority for the City of Scandia.” Or something similar.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.24	Page 19 Please change the last sentence from “seven major issues” to “eleven major issues.”	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.25	Page 20 The bulleted list of issues from the county groundwater plan is incorrect. Please replace as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Groundwater Supply • Groundwater and Surface Water Interaction • Source Water and Wellhead Protection • Existing Contaminants: VOCs and PFCs • Nutrients, Pesticides and Road Salt • Emerging Contaminants • Septic Systems • Land Spreading for Beneficial Use • Hazardous Waste • Mining • Landfills 	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.26	Page 21 first sentence of number 4. Please change the “2003 Washington County Groundwater Plan” to the “2014 Washington County Groundwater Plan.”	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.27	Page 21 number 5. The “North & East Area Groundwater Management Area Plan” needs to be changed to the “North and East Metro Groundwater Management Area Plan.” This occurs in two locations here.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.

1.28	Page 24 first bullet; Page 25 sixth bullet; Page 27, Goal 6, first bullet Please add the Washington Conservation District (WCD) and Washington County as potential partners.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.
1.29	Page 25 third bullet Please add “adopted county development codes” to this list.	Washington County	Thank you for your comment. This element has been incorporated in the LWMP.

General			
Incomplete Comments			
Number	Comment	From	Proposed Response
1.1	No comments	Chisago Lakes Twn	
1.2	No comments	Chisago Lakes SD	
1.3	No comments	Forest Lake SC	
1.4	No comments	Stillwater SD	
1.5	Very nice plan	City of Hugo	