Minnesota Wetland Conservation Act
Technical Evaluation Panel Findings Report

Date(s) of Site Visit/Meeting:	September 23, 2015	LGU:	City of Scandia
County:	Washington	LGU Contact:	Jed Chesnut
Project Name:	Zavoral Mine and Reclamation	Phone #:	651-30-8220 x25
Location of Project: (attach map if possible)	SW1/4, Sec 19 TWP 32, R19	Email Address:	jchesnut@mnwcd.org

TEP ATTENDEES:	OTHER ATTENDEES:	OTHER ATTENDEES:
LGU: Jed Chesnut	Christina Morrison, Tiller Corp	
SWCD:	Jason Husveth, CCES	
BWSR: Ben Meyer	Amy Husveth, CCES	
DNR:		

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND PURPOSE OF MEETING:
The Zavoral Mine and Reclamation project is a sand/gravel mine permitted by the City of Scandia. The Conditional Use Permit requires the review of the wetland boundaries.

TYPE OF MEETING: *Check all applicable*

☐ Office ☒ On-Site ☐ Phone Conference ☐ E-Mail ☐ Other: ____

TEP FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS [1]:

All three wetlands referenced in the project's Conditional Use Permit (CUP) were field reviewed to determine if there were any detrimental impacts from the mine to the shape and exent of the wetlands. Representative areas of the wetlands were reviewed in the field. This review was required as part of the CUP and Annual Operating Permit for 2015. Prior to the review, all wetlands were reflagged by the applicant's consultant.

The current wetland boundaries appeared to be consistent with the previously delineated boundaries. There was no apparent discrepancy in the wetlands's size, shape, or vegetative composition. Based on the field review, it appears that the wetlands have sufficient hydrology and do not appear to be affected by the Zavoral Mine and Reclamation Project. The TEP recommends that no additional wetland boundary monitoring needs to occur for this project.

SIGNATURES

SWCD Representative	Date	BWSR Representative	12/16/2015 Date
Do not concur ☐		Do not concur ☐	
LGU Representative	12/16/15 Date	DNR Representative	Date
Do not concur ☐		Do not concur ☐	

[1] TEP Findings should be a meaningful concise summary detailing the project conditions, technical data, and what rules apply. The TEP recommendation should be clear, based on rule and best professional judgement.